

Appln No.: 09/944,326  
Amendment Dated: October 19, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of September 4, 2007

#### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


This is in response to the Office Action mailed September 4, 2007 for the above-captioned application. Reconsideration and allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

It is noted that the statement of the claims pending in this application was in error, in that is included canceled claim 14 and did not include claim 23.

In the office action, claims 1, 19 and 30 were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting. The remaining claims were objected to as dependent on a rejected claims. Applicants have now amended claim 1 to include the limitations of claim 12 and claim 24 to independent form (including the initial limitations for claim 1). Claim 19 has been canceled. Thus, this application should be in form for allowance without the filing of a terminal disclaimer.

This amendment is made without prejudice and Applicants are filing a continuation application presenting claims 1, 19 and 30 as previously presented together with a terminal disclaimer.

Respectfully Submitted,



---

Marina T. Larson, Ph.D  
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 32038

(970) 262 1800